

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-9 and 11 are presented for consideration, with Claims 1, 8 and 9 being independent.

As will be appreciated, previously presented Claims 1 and 3 through 9 were allowed. Claim 1 has been broadened by this Amendment to set forth that 90% or more of the mesopores pass through the framework in a direction “intersecting” a longitudinal direction of the framework. Support for the amendments to Claim 1 can be found, for example, in Figures 1B and 1C and the accompanying disclosure on page 9, lines 4 through 6, of the specification.

Regardless of the claim changes, it is submitted that Claim 1 remains allowable over the Iyer ‘480 patent. For example, it is respectfully submitted that although Iyer relates to a mesoporous silica structure and discloses such as being an ordered cubic array of interconnected pores (Col. 5, lines 48-50), the structure Iyer is not a dendritic framework as set forth in Claim 1 of Applicants’ invention. It is respectfully submitted, therefore, that Iyer does not teach or suggest Applicants’ invention as set forth in Claim 1.

Independent Claims 7, 8 and 9 are presented as previously allowed. In addition, Claim 11 has been added to provide an additional scope of protection along the lines of previously-allowed Claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of this application is deemed to be in order and such action is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Scott D. Malpede/

Scott D. Malpede
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 32,533

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SDM/rmm